

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/712,776	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SANDERS, RICHARD S.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL KAHELIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3762	

**All Participants:**

 (1) MICHAEL KAHELIN.

 (2) Zhengnian Tang.
**Status of Application:** allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 October 2009
**Time:** 12:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 /Michael Kahelin/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3762

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an amendment to more clearly describe the claimed subject matter wherein the device "is programmed" to have the first and second set of values at different times and "is configured" to be the implantable monitor and pacemaker at different times. This is intended to clarify the mutually exclusivity of the two modes and to clarify that the same device provides both modes. Applicant agreed to the changes. .